Analysis of the ATTMA Database

Presented by
Barry Cope
Group CEO

1

Introduction

BCTA Group CEO

ATTMA, SITMA, The Building Performance Hub, Building Passport

Responsible for:

- Business
- Auditing
- Quality Control
- Technical Support
- Authorisation of training providers

2

Introduction

ATTMA
Air Tightness Testing & Measurement Association
UK Based

Operate in UK, UAE, Poland, Spain, Australia & New Zealand

Operates:
- Auditing
- Quality Control
- Technical Support

We are:
- Independent – not owned by anyone.
- Not for profit – we reinvest every penny

Why Do We Lodge Tests?

1. Building Control / Approved Inspectors
2. Gain real world information
3. Protect the industry
4. Reduce administration
5. Eradicate bad practices
6. Fair Funding
7. Quality Control

How Do We Lodge Tests?

1. Direct lodgement from Fantestic & Tectite
2. Drag and drop the raw data files
3. Csv upload
4. The ATTMA iOS Testing App



5

How Do We Lodge Tests?

1. Direct lodgement from Fantestic & Tectite
2. Drag and drop the raw data files
3. Csv upload
4. The ATTMA iOS Testing App



6

Data

- 875,500 tests completed to date
- 600 per working day on average.
- UK use AP50 as the testing metric and not n50.

Data



The UK has increased the total number of Lodgements by around 10% every year for the 5.5 years we have been collecting data

Data



The impact of the Coronavirus can clearly be seen as the UK shut down for around 6 weeks, with construction continuing shortly after

9

Data



The average AP50 falls by ~3% each year. At this rate it will take over 20 years to reach net-zero homes!

10

Data



The average AP50 falls by ~3% each year. At this rate it will take over 20 years to reach net-zero homes!

Data



70% of homes in the UK are still built using traditional methods (lightweight block, brick)

Data



However, in Scotland, more than 90% of homes are timber frame!

Data



Evidence shows that traditional block construction is the worst performing material to use. Perhaps we would expect better from SIPS and ICF though?

Data



Interestingly, we are building more homes with mechanical ventilation, though it is still valid to build homes with very little ventilation.

Data



Homeowners are often expected to ‘hope’ the wind is in the right direction to provide air changes.

Data



In fact, more than 62% of homes are constructed using ‘background’ ventilation types.

Summary

<u>Advantages:</u>

Very easy to lodge, many from existing software (Tectite / Fantestic)

Speed is very fast – uses Microsoft Azure server

Deviations process allows us to live review any deviations from the test standard

<u>Disadvantages</u>

Lots of data was set as ‘free text’ in the early days making it hard to analyse

We don’t record the reasons for failure – yet

Summary

Buildings are becoming more airtight, however, it is at a very slow rate.

We are fortunate to test more than 50% of all new construction. This number may increase to 100% in a new regulations change.

ATTMA has significant amounts of data that can be analysed as required. If you would like to know more, please contact me.

Questions

I'll be happy to take questions at the end (10:55am).

?

Building airtightness improvements in the Flemish building stock analysis of BCCA database

BCCA

Maarten De Strycker – mds@bcca.be

AIVC - Tightvent Webinar | 19-01-2021

1

AIR TIGHTNESS TESTS IN FLEMISH REGION

- 2 options in EPBD regulation in Flemish region in Belgium:
  - Default value of 12 m³/h per m² heat loss area (v50)
  - Leakage rate measured in quality framework (since 1 january 2015)
- Quality framework organised by BCCA
  - Reference document in Belgium: STS-P 71-3 (referring to european standard)
  - Initial qualification of testers:
    - Optional theoretical course (1 day – building physical background, STS-P 71-3 and operational aspects of quality framework)
    - Theoretical exam (1.5h – 50questions multiple choice)
    - Practical exam (3 h – full test on site and measurement report)
  - Random inspections:
    - 10 % inspections on site to verify correctness and reliability of measurements
    - 10 % inspections of test reports to verify correctness and completeness of test report
- Other regions (Walloon, Brussels): no quality framework (yet), no systematic registration of test results

BCCA

DATABASE BCCA

- Information entered in BCCA database by qualified testers:
  - Administrative data (address, ...)
  - Main destination (residential, office building, school, ...)
  - For multifamily buildings: if tested as a whole or as individual units
  - Planning and timing of test
  - Leakage rate (m^3/h)
  - Heat loss area (m^2) and/or internal volume (m^3)
  - Full test report (.pdf, pictures, ...)
- SMS with leakage rate after test to facilitate random inspections
- No details about the sources of leakages
- Yearly statistics available since 2015

BCCA

STATISTICS



BCCA

STATISTICS





BCCA

STATISTICS

- Distribution of v50 for 2020



BCCA

STATISTICS







BCCA

CONCLUSIONS

- Database contains data for mostly residential buildings and mostly individual residential units
- No evolution towards a better airtightness in Flanders over the last 6 years
  - Since 2015 the average v50-value remains more or less the same
- No details about the sources of leakages

8

BCCA

QUESTIONS?

- mds@bcca.be
- http://www.jeconstruisetanchealair.be/newsletters/

BCCA

For quality and confidence in the construction sector

BELGIAN CONSTRUCTION CERTIFICATION ASSOCIATION NPO

www.bcca.be – mail@bcca.be
Aarlenstraat 53 – 1040 Brussel
+32 (0)2 238 24 11

BCCA

RÉPUBLIQUE FRANÇAISE
Liberté Égalité Fraternité

Cerema

BUILDING AIRTIGHTNESS IMPROVEMENTS IN THE FRENCH BUILDING STOCK

Analysis of CEREMA database

Adeline Mélois, Bassam Moujalled

AIRTIGTHNESS IN THE EP-REGULATION RT2012

- **French indicator:** $q_{a4} = \frac{q_4}{A_{Tbat}} = Q_{4Pa-Surf}$

  q_4 = airflow rate at 4 Pa
  A_{Tbat} = envelope surface area excluding lowest floor

- **Limit values for residential buildings:**
  - Single-family houses: $q_{a4} \leq 0.6\ m^3\ h^{-1}\ m^{-2}$ ($n_{50} \approx 2.3\ h^{-1}$)
  - Multi-family buildings: $q_{a4} \leq 1.0\ m^3\ h^{-1}\ m^{-2}$

- **Default values for non-residential buildings**
  - q_{a4}=1.7 or 3.0 $m^3\ h^{-1}\ m^{-2}$

TESTERS QUALIFICATION

- **Mandatory justification:**
  - Airtightness measurement performed by a qualified tester
  - Certified Quality Management Approach
- **National qualification scheme for testers:**
  - reference: ISO 9972 + French standard (FD P50-784)
  - qualifying State-approved training + examination
  - testing experience (minimum 10 tests)
  - yearly follow-up checks including a national database
- **September 2020: 896 qualified testers**

AIRTIGTHNESS DATABASE FIELDS

- **Building general information:** owner, location, use, year of the construction, year of the rehabilitation
- **Special requirements:** label, certification
- **Building main characteristics:** main material, constructional type, insulation, ventilation system, heating system
- **Measurement protocol:** tester, date of measurement, measurement device, time of measurement (building state), method
- **Measurement input data:** envelope area (excluding low floor), floor area, volume
- **Measurement results:** C_L, n, q_{a4}, n_{50}, uncertainties
- **Leaks:** classification of the leaks (46 categories)

FRENCH DATABASE OVERVIEW



Analyses in 2020
→ 380 503 tests performed until 2018

FRENCH DATABASE OVERVIEW

Distribution according to the building use



Distribution according to the construction phase



EVOLUTION OF THE TESTS RESULT



7

EVOLUTION OF THE TESTS RESULT



8

EVOLUTION OF THE TESTS RESULT



DATA FOR RENOVATION

1 560 tests on renovated buildings (EP regulation for renovation)



Initial = before renovation / Chantier = during renovation / Réception = after renovation

DATA REGARDING LEAKS LOCATION

Number of observations for 10 leaks identified on single-family houses with the highest median q_{a4} value

(from the sample of 121,478 measurements on houses)



Source : A.B. Mélois, B. Moujalled, G. Guyot, V. Leprince, Improving building envelope knowledge from analysis of 219,000 certified on-site air leakage measurements in France, Building and Environment. (2019).

FRENCH DATABASE FOR DUCTWORK AIRTIGTHNESS

- **Regulatory context:**
  - Tests only for class A, B or C in EP-calculation
  - Mandatory tests and minimum class for Effinergie labels
- **Justification:**
  - Airtightness measurement performed by a qualified tester
- **National qualification scheme for testers:**
  - reference: French standard (FD E51-767)
  - qualifying State-approved training + examination
  - testing experience (minimum 10 tests)
  - yearly follow-up checks including a national database
- **December 2020: 123 qualified testers**

FRENCH DATABASE FOR DUCTWORK AIRTIGTHNESS





FRENCH DATABASE FOR DUCTWORK AIRTIGTHNESS



FRENCH DATABASE FOR DUCTWORK AIRTIGTHNESS

FRENCH DATABASE FOR VENTILATION SYSTEM

- **Regulatory context:**
  - New regulation for building RE2020: from January 1st, 2021
  - Ventilation: mandatory check and measurement for residential buildings
- **Justification:**
  - Promevent protocol (similar to EN14134) performed by a qualified tester
- **National qualification scheme for testers:**
  - Being defined at the moment
  - Similar than envelope and ductwork airtigthness
  - Better process to collect data

FRENCH DATABASE FOR VENTILATION SYSTEM

- **Private database: by DooApp**

https://open-promevent.fr/

doo App

17

RÉPUBLIQUE FRANÇAISE
Liberté
Égalité
Fraternité

Cerema

THANKS

adeline.melois@cerema.fr
bassam.moujalled@cerema.fr

18

Residential buildings airtightness frameworks: A review on the main databases and setups in Europe and North America

Irene Poza-Casado, Vitor E.M. Cardoso, Ricardo M.S.F. Almeida, Alberto Meiss, Nuno M. M. Ramos, Miguel Ángel Padilla-Marcos
RG Architecture & Energy, Universidad de Valladolid
CONSTRUCT-LFC, Faculdade de Engenharia (FEUP), Universidade do Porto
Department of Civil Engineering, School of Technology and Management, Polytechnic Institute of Viseu

Index

1. Introduction

- growing interest for airtightness
- fast spread of regulatory frameworks
- stricter requirements, schemes for testing and quality control
- creation of airtightness databases

2. Objectives

- Explore the main airtightness databases
  - Data available
  - Input scheme
  - Purpose
  - Analysis
  - Structure
  - Requirements
- Compare databases
  - Differences
  - Gaps
  - Strengths and weaknesses
  - Problems and opportunities

3. Why are airtightness databases useful?

- demonstrate compliance with regulations
- input data for buildings energy and ventilation estimations
- information for modelling and designing
- factors are the most important
- evaluate building design, construction practices and quality
- develop guidelines
- evaluate the effectiveness of individual measures
- visualise time trends
- evaluate the progress of the built stock
- compare the building performance with other countries

4. Normative airtightness frameworks

- Europe: EPBD (nZEB)
  - Air infiltration control
  - No specific requirements
  - Different approach in each country
- North America: national energy codes
  - Air infiltration control
  - Different energy policies in each state or region

Country	Parameter	Units	Requirements	On-site testing
Airtightness mandatory values				
Austria	n_{50}	h^{-1}	Natural ventilation < 3 Mechanical ventilation < 1.5	Not mandatory
Belgium – Brussels region	n_{50}	h^{-1}	< 0.6	Not mandatory
Bosnia	n_{50}	h^{-1}	Natural ventilation < 3 Mechanical ventilation < 1.5	Not mandatory
Croatia	n_{50}	h^{-1}	Natural ventilation < 3 Mechanical ventilation < 1.5	Not mandatory
Czech Republic	n_{50}	h^{-1}	Natural ventilation < 4.5 Mechanical ventilation < 1.5 Heat recovery system <1	Not mandatory
Denmark	w_{50}	$l/(s \cdot m^2)$	$A_{env}/A_{floor} \leq 3$: < 1 $A_{env}/A_{floor} > 3$: < 0.3	Not mandatory
France	q_4	$m^3/(h \cdot m^2)$	Single-family < 0.6 Multi-family < 1	Mandatory
Germany	n_{50}	h^{-1}	Natural ventilation < 3 (exceptions with active components < 1.5) Mechanical ventilation < 1.5	Not mandatory
Iceland	q_{50}	$m^3/(h \cdot m^2)$	< 3	Not mandatory
Ireland	q_{50}	$m^3/(h \cdot m^2)$	< 5	Mandatory
Latvia	q_{50}	$m^3/(h \cdot m^2)$	Natural ventilation < 3 Mechanical ventilation < 2 Heat recovery system < 1.5	Not mandatory
Liechtenstein	q_{50}	$m^3/(h \cdot m^2)$	New buildings: Natural ventilation < 2.4 Mechanical ventilation < 1.6 Renovations: Natural ventilation < 3.6 Mechanical ventilation < 2.4	Not mandatory
Lithuania	n_{50}	h^{-1}	Class C: < 2 Class B: < 1.5 Class A: < 1.0 Class A+ and A++: <0.6	Not mandatory
Luxembourg	n_{50}	h^{-1}	Natural ventilation < 3 Mechanical ventilation < 1.5 Heat recovery system < 1	Not mandatory
Monaco	q_4	$m^3/(h \cdot m^2)$	Single-family < 0.6 Multi-family < 1	Mandatory
Montenegro	n_{50}	h^{-1}	Natural ventilation < 3 Mechanical ventilation < 1.5	Not mandatory
Netherlands	w_{10}	$dm^3/(s \cdot m^2)$	<1	Not mandatory
Norway	n_{50}	h^{-1}	< 1.5	Not mandatory
Russia	n_{50}	h^{-1}	Natural ventilation < 4 Mechanical ventilation < 2	Not mandatory
Slovenia	n_{50}	h^{-1}	Natural ventilation < 3 Mechanical ventilation < 2	Not mandatory
Spain	n_{50}	h^{-1}	Compacity $V/A_E \leq 2$: < 6 $V/A_E \geq 4$: < 3	Not mandatory
Sweden	q_{50}	$m^3/(h \cdot m^2)$	< 0.6	Not mandatory
Switzerland	q_{50}	$m^3/(h \cdot m^2)$	New buildings: Natural ventilation < 2.4 Mechanical ventilation < 1.6 Renovations: Natural ventilation < 3.6 Mechanical ventilation < 2.4	Not mandatory
United Kingdom	q_{50}	$m^3/(h \cdot m^2)$	< 10	Mandatory
USA	n_{50}	h^{-1}	< 3 climate zone 3 to 8 < 5 climate zone 1 and 2	Mandatory (depending on state level speed of national energy code adoption)
Airtightness recommended values				
Poland	n_{50}	h^{-1}	Natural ventilation < 3 Mechanical ventilation < 1.5	Not mandatory
Airtightness default values that can be improved				
Belgium (Flanders and Wallonia)	q_{50}	$m^3/(h \cdot m^2)$	12	Mandatory, to improve from default values
Canada	n_{50}	h^{-1}	3.2 with basic air barrier specifications 2.5 with extra prescriptive details	Mandatory, to improve from default values
Estonia	q_{50}	$m^3/(h \cdot m^2)$	Single-family: 6 Other buildings: 3	Mandatory, to improve default values
Finland	q_{50}	$m^3/(h \cdot m^2)$	4	Mandatory, to improve from default values
No whole building values suggested or no consideration at all				
Albania **Andorra** **Belarus** **Bulgaria**	**Cyprus** **Greece** **Hungary** **Italy (except Trento and Bolzano regions)**		**Malta** **Moldova** **North Macedonia** **San Marino**	**Serbia** **Ukraine** **Portugal** **Slovakia**



5. Whole building airtightness databases

- Databases structure

Country	United Kingdom	France	USA	Canada	Czech Republic	Germany	Belgium (Flemish region)	Spain
Initiative	Government	Government	Government	Government	Independent association	Independent association and individuals	Government	Academic
Responsible	Competent Tester Persons: ATTMA and iATS	Cerema (private body)	Lawrence Berkeley National Laboratory – LBNL	Natural Resources Canada	ABD.CZ project (Association Blower Door CZ)	FLiB (independent association)	BCCA, BCQS and the Federal Insurance Company	University of Valladolid
Size	ATTMA - over 500.000 iATS - over 55,000	Over 220,000	Over 150,000	Over 846,000	419	Around 1000	Over 22,000	401
Creation	ATTMA - 2002iATS - 2015	2007	Mid 1990s	2003	2001	2003	2015	2017
Current state	Ongoing	Ongoing	Ongoing	Ongoing	On hold	On hold	Ongoing	On hold
Update	Continuously	Yearly	Occasionally	Continuously	Occasionally	Yearly	Continuously	Occasionally
Platform	Online (https://www.attmalodgement.org, https://iats-lodgement.org.uk)	Offline	Online (http://resdb.lbl.gov/)	Online (https://www.nrcan.gc.ca/)	Offline	Offline	Online (http://dossier.bcca.be)	Offline
Data format	Purpose provided software	Formatted excel spreadsheet	Open-source data management system (PostgreSQL)	Oracle database with an OMNIS 7.8 interface	Formatted excel spreadsheet	Formatted excel spreadsheet	Pdf test report	Formatted excel spreadsheet
Data communication	Online platform upload for test certificate emission	Formatted excel spreadsheet sent yearly to qualification body	Datasets of energy programs get added occasionally	Data files upload to an automated web-based file processor	No clear information	Questionnaire	Online platform where testers upload the test report	Online server upload
Quality control	Auditing both on and off-site by sampling	Auditing both on and off-site by sampling	Dependent on the data source	File processor performs validation and data integrity tests and random file reviews	No	Validation of 5 test reports for recertification every 3 years	Onsite and desktop inspection	Full off-site compliance checks
Tester scheme	Mandatory training program approved by a Competent Tester Person	Qualibat certification	Certified experts for the Energy Star and the Guaranteed Performance programs	Independent certified energy advisors	No	FLiB certification. Training by certified organisations	Quality framework with optional training or mandatory theoretical and practical exam	Mandatory training program
Sampling scheme	Yes	Yes	No	No	No	Yes	No	Yes (quota sampling scheme)
Type of building	Residential and non-residential buildings	Residential and non-residential buildings	Residential	Residential. Pre- and post-energy retrofit	Residential and non-residential buildings	No clear information	Residential and non-residential building	Residential
Predominance	Residential	Single-family	Single-family (92%)	Low-rise dwellings	Single-family	Single-family	Single-family dwellings (78%)	Multi-family

- Measurement data acquisition

Compilation of test standards, guidelines, and methodologies on measurement data acquisition by analysed countries with an established database.

Country	United Kingdom	France	USA	Canada	Czech Republic	Germany	Belgium	Spain
Current test standard	BS EN ISO 9972:2015 [73]	NF EN ISO 9972:2015 [89]	ASTM E779-19 [76] ASTM E1827-11 [75] sp: single point tp: two points	CAN/CGSB-149.10 [78]	ČSN EN ISO 9972:2017 [90]	DIN EN ISO 9972:2018 [70]	NBN EN ISO 9972:2015 [91]	UNE EN ISO 9972:2019 [92]
National guidelines	TSL1:2016 [93]	FD P50-784:2016 [84]	2018 IECC [40]	NBC 2015 [44]	ČSN 73 0540-2:2011 [19]	EnEV 2014 [22] DIN 4108-7:2011 [94]	STS-P 71-3:2014 [95]	None
Test conditions	Wind Temperature Height	Wind Temperature Height	E779: Height Temperature E1827: Wind Temperature	Wind	Wind Temperature Height	Wind Temperature Height	Wind Temperature Height	Wind Temperature Height
Method/ Building preparation	Method 2 on temporary sealing according to method 2 are present in the national guidelines	Method 3 Additional instructions on temporary sealing and guidelines on air leakage location	Permanent and temporary sealing actions in national guideline Additional instructions on the airtightness measurement standard	Permanent and temporary sealing actions in national guidelines Additional instructions on the airtightness test standard	Method 1 or 2 (preferred)No additional instructions on national guidelines	Method 2Instructions on temporary sealing according to method 2 are present in the national guidelines	Method 1 or 2Instructions on temporary sealing according with method 1 or 2 and air leakage location identification are present in the national guidelines	Methods A and B[a]
Minimum initial and final baseline (duration)	10 points (30s)	10 points (30s)	E779: 5 points (10s) E1827:1 point	1 point	10 points (30s)	10 points (30s)	10 points (30s)	10 points (30s)
Minimum test extent (duration)	7 points (-), equal steps < 10 Pa between steps Lowest $\Delta P > 10$ Pa or $5\times \Delta p_0$ 50 Pa $<$ Highest $\Delta P < 90$ Pa	10 points (10s), equal steps < 10 Pa between steps Lowest $\Delta P > 10$ Pa or $5\times \Delta p_0$ 50 Pa $<$ Highest $\Delta P < 100$ Pa	E779: Over 5 points (10s) 10 Pa $< \Delta P <$ 60 Pa 5–10 Pa between steps E1827: Repeated sp (5×): 50 Pa Repeated tp (5× each): 12.5 Pa and 50 Pa	8 points (-) 15 Pa $< \Delta P <$ 50 Pa 5 Pa between steps	At least 5 points (-), equal steps <10 Pa between steps Lowest $\Delta P > 10$ Pa or $5\times \Delta p_0$ 50 Pa $<$ Highest $\Delta P < 100$ Pa	At least 5 points (-), equal steps <10 Pa between steps Lowest $\Delta P > 10$ Pa or $5\times \Delta p_0$ 50 Pa $<$ Highest $\Delta P <$ 100 Pa	At least 5 points (-), equal steps <10 Pa between steps Lowest $\Delta P > 10$ Pa or $5\times \Delta p_0$ 50 Pa $<$ Highest $\Delta P < 100$ Pa	10 points (-), equal steps[a] $11 < \Delta P < 65$ Pa
Regression method	Ordinary least squares	Ordinary least squares	Ordinary least squares	Weighted least squares	Ordinary least squares	Ordinary least squares	Ordinary least squares	Ordinary least squares
Pressure direction	Either or both	Either or both	E779: Both E1827: Either or both	Depress.	Either or both	Either or both	Either or both	Both
Metrics	q_{50} ($m^3.h^{-1}m^{-2}$)	q_4-surf ($m^3.h^{-1}m^{-2}$); n_{50} (h^{-1})	E779: EfLA @ 4Pa (cm^2); N_{50} (h^{-1}) E1827: q_{50} ($m^3.h^{-1}m^{-2}$)	EqLA @10Pa(m^2); NLA ($cm^2.m^{-2}$)	n_{50} (h^{-1})	q_{50} ($m^3.h^{-1}m^{-2}$)	n_{50} (h^{-1}) q_{50} ($m^3.h^{-1}m^{-2}$)	n_{50} (h^{-1}) q_{50} ($m^3.h^{-1}m^{-2}$)

6. Strengths, weaknesses, opportunities and threats

SWOT scheme on regulatory context

S	W
Use of ISO metrics that not only address volume, n_{50} but also envelope leakage interface, q_{50}	Use of national or regional metrics that do not use the same metric system or units and consider other forms of geometry relations
Use of ISO reference pressures for results that do not hinder comparison between datasets	Use of national reference pressures for results that ultimately hinder cross country or cross dataset analysis

O	T
Use of combined criteria for the establishment of whole building limits	Use of a sole variable on establishing whole building limits
Use of a common approach on the methodology for limits establishment	Several countries have regions with different approaches to methodology on the establishment of limits
Inclusion of whole building airtightness requirements on the energy label certification procedure accross European countries	Most southern and eastern European countries overlook the whole building airtightness topic despite most of them having energy label certification in place

6. Strengths, weaknesses, opportunities and threats

SWOT scheme on the structure of databases

S	W
Quick development of databases is observed in countries where testing performance is mandatory	Lack of airtightness data, mainly in Mediterranean countries
Large amounts of test results allow for comparisons, observation of trends, evaluation of building design, efficiency of construction practices	When the databases are built as the joint of single studies or related to specific development or weatherization programs, the data may be non-representative of the built stock
The adoption of quality control schemes increase the reliability of reported results. Recent online platforms offer quick access, control, and continuous updates.	Data privacy policies do not allow open access to data, which hinders further analysis by scientific groups

O	T
Implementation of energy policies related to airtightness could result in the creation of extensive and structured databases	Size is highly dependent on regulations and energy policies
Current residential databases could get broaden by introducing other uses and typologies	Lack of continuous maintenance and update when no ongoing initiative or regulations are into force
A joint international database would allow for global analysis and trend identification	Lack of a structured quality control scheme and standard protocols could impact the reliability of the data gathered

6. Strengths, weaknesses, opportunities and threats

SWOT scheme on measurement data acquisition

S	W
Use the combined effect of wind, temperature, and height when assessing test conditions, such as the most recent version of ISO	Use of a unique variable or a non-complete combination of them when assessing test conditions
Use of the ISO methodology on baseline data acquisition or longer time average periods	Use of baseline data that is insufficient in quantity – number of points – and quality – time average of each point
Use of over five pressure stations	Use of too few pressure stations on measurement, which significantly enhances precision errors
Use of a weighted least square regression method on the model application	Use of ordinary least square regression method proved inferior that its weighted version
Use the average of both pressure directions	Possibility of use of only one pressure direction for the acquisition of final test results

O	T
Convergence would bring positive results and more cooperation between parties	Significant differences in the American and European approaches to the topic
Uniform adoption of ISO measurement protocol although some changes should be considered, on data treatment, e.g., regression method, on detailing, e.g., test preparation, and on restrictions of decision, e.g., pressure directions and method application for the energy certification label	Broad adoption at European national level of several national complementary documents with further instructions on geometry quantification, additional test preparation actions, and clarification on the Interpretation of the test method to use
Convergence on metrics for results presentation and geometry quantification procedure would allow cross-study comparisons and knowledge discovery	The use of different metrics associated with uncertainties on geometry quantification makes the results from datasets not comparable
Adoption of an international level scheme regarding leakage location detection, as France and Belgium already implement	Frequent disregard to a systematic detection of leakage location

Conclusions

- Trends: stricter requirements and mandatory testing
- Main issues to address in the near future:
  - lack of uniformization in method between countries
  - need for minimum data
  - implemented setups
- Common framework proposal:
  - User friendly, accessible web-based platform
  - Unambiguous quantitative measurement procedure
  - Dwelling information on visual inspection.
  - Qualitative tests to locate leakages
  - Quality Management Schemes, including procedures for tester training, and results control

Building and Environment 183 (2020) 107221

Contents lists available at ScienceDirect

Building and Environment

journal homepage: http://www.elsevier.com/locate/buildenv

Residential buildings airtightness frameworks: A review on the main databases and setups in Europe and North America

Irene Poza-Casado [a], Vitor E.M. Cardoso [b,*], Ricardo M.S.F. Almeida [b,c], Alberto Meiss [a], Nuno M. M. Ramos [b], Miguel Ángel Padilla-Marcos [a]

[a] RG Architecture & Energy, Universidad de Valladolid, Avda/ Salamanca, 18, Valladolid, 47014, Spain
[b] CONSTRUCT-LFC, Faculdade de Engenharia (FEUP), Universidade do Porto, Rua Dr. Roberto Frias s/n, 4200-465, Porto, Portugal
[c] Polytechnic Institute of Viseu, School of Technology and Management, Department of Civil Engineering, Campus Politécnico de Repeses, 3504-510, Viseu, Portugal

ARTICLE INFO

Keywords:
Review paper
Airtightness
Regulation policy

ABSTRACT

The airtightness of buildings has gained relevance in the last decade. The spread of the regulatory frameworks, the demand of stricter requirements, schemes for testing and quality control, the creation of airtightness databases and its analysis, is proof of this reality. The present review encompasses schemes developed in Europe and North America with regard to these aspects for national residential sectors. A normative framework on requirements and recommendations at the national level is compiled. Whole building airtightness databases are compared based on their structures and measurement data acquisition protocols. Gathered complementary information not directly related to testing is analysed and airtightness influencing factors importance and relationships are discussed. Weaknesses and strengths in the different aspects of the existing database setups are identified. Also, neglected or not entirely undertaken topics are pinpointed together with the suggestion of possible opportunities for future works and changes. Amongst other relevant remarks and discussions, it is concluded that the lack of uniformization in method between countries, the need for a minimum data setup, the lack of data analysis on relating the energy impact with the advancement in requirements of airtightness performance and the implemented setups are some of the main issues to address in the near future.

References

[1] W. R. Chan et al., "Technical Note AIVC 66. Building air leakage databases in energy conservation policies: analysis of selected initiatives in 4 European countries and the USA," 2012.

[2] S. Charrier, A. Bailly, and F. R. Carrié, "BUILDING AIRTIGHTNESS IN FRANCE: REGULATORY CONTEXT, CONTROL PROCEDURES, RESULTS," pp. 1–9, 2015.

[3] G. Han, J. Srebric, and E. Enache-Pommer, "Different modeling strategies of infiltration rates for an office building to improve accuracy of building energy simulations," Energy Build., vol. 86, pp. 288–295, 2015.

[4] M. I. Montoya, E. Pastor, F. R. Carrié, G. Guyot, and E. Planas, "Air leakage in Catalan dwellings: Developing an airtightness model and leakage airflow predictions," Build. Environ., vol. 45, no. 6, pp. 1458–1469, 2010.

[5] M. Prignon and G. Van Moeseke, "Factors influencing airtightness and airtightness predictive models: A literature review," Energy Build., vol. 146, pp. 87–97, 2017.

[6] J. Feijó-Muñoz, R. A. González-Lezcano, I. Poza-Casado, M. Á. Padilla-Marcos, and A. Meiss, "Airtightness of residential buildings in the Continental area of Spain," Build. Environ., vol. 148, pp. 299–308, 2019.

[7] BC Housing, Illustrated Guide Achieving Airtight Buildings, no. September. Vancouver, 2017.

[8] M. C. Gillott, D. L. Loveday, J. White, C. J. Wood, K. Chmutina, and K. Vadodaria, "Improving the airtightness in an existing UK dwelling: The challenges, the measures and their effectiveness," Build. Environ., vol. 95, pp. 227–239, 2016.

[9] W. Bracke, J. Laverge, N. Van Den Bossche, and A. Janssens, "Durability and Measurement Uncertainty of Airtightness in Extremely Airtight Dwellings," Int. J. Vent., vol. 14, no. 4, pp. 383–394, Mar. 2016.

[10] H. Erhorn-Kluttig, H. Erhorn, H. Lahmidi, and R. Anderson, "Airtightness requirements for high performance building envelopes," ASIEPI Eur. Proj. Rep. P, vol. 157, pp. 3–5, 2009.

[11] M. Papaglastra, I. Leivada, K. Sfakianaki, F. Carrié, and M. Santamouris, International comparison of envelope air tightness measurements. 2008.

[12] European Comission, "DIRECTIVE (EU) 2018/844 OF THE EUROPEAN PARLIAMENT AND OF THE COUNCIL of 30 May 2018 amending Directive 2010/31/EU on the energy performance of buildings and Directive 2012/27/EU on energy efficiency," Off. J. Eur. Union, vol. 156, pp. 75–91, 2018.

[13] C. Younes, C. A. Shdid, and G. Bitsuamlak, "Air infiltration through building envelopes: A review," J. Build. Phys., vol. 35, no. 3, pp. 267–302, Oct. 2011.

[14] S. Guillén-Lambea, B. Rodríguez-Soria, and J. M. Marín, "Air infiltrations and energy demand for residential low energy buildings in warm climates," Renew. Sustain. Energy Rev., vol. 116, p. 109469, 2019.

[15] Austrian Institute for Building Technology, OIB-330.6-026 / 19 Directive 6 Energy economy and heat retention, no. April. 2019.

[16] Arrêté du Gouvernement de la Région Bruxelles-Capitale, Arrêté du 26 janvier 2017 établissant les lignes directrices et critères nécessaires au calcul de la performance énergétique des unités PEB. 2017.

[17] Federacije Bosne i Hercegovine, PRAVILNIK O TEHNICKIM ZAHTJEVIMA ZA TOPLOTNU ZASTITU OBJEKATA I RACIONALNU UPOTREBU ENERGIJE. 2008.

[18] MINISTARSTVO GRADITELJSTVA PROSTORNOGA UREĐENJA, TEHNIČKI PROPIS O RACIONALNOJ UPORABI ENERGIJE I TOPLINSKOJ ZAŠTITI U ZGRADAMA. 2015.

[19] Ministry of Industry and Trade of the Czech Republic, ČSN 73 0540-2 Tepelná ochrana budov - Část 2: Požadavky. 2016.

[20] B. and H. Ministry of Transport, Executive order on building regulations 2018 (BR18), no. 1615. 2018.

[21] Ministère d'Etat de l'écologie de l'énergie du développement durable et de la mer, "RT 2012. Arrêté du 26 octobre 2010 relatif aux caractéristiques thermiques et aux exigences de performance énergétique des bâtiments nouveaux et des parties nouvelles de bâtiments.," Off. J. French Repub., 2010.

[22] BGBI, Energieeinsparverordnung - EnEV 2014 / EnEV ab 2016. 2014.

[23] Umhverfisráðuneytinu, Byggingarreglugerd nr. 112/2012. 2012.

[24] Department of Housing Planning Community and Local Government, Building Regulations 2011 Technical Guidance Document L Conservation of Fuel and Energy - Dwellings. 2019.

[25] Ministru kabineta noteikumi Nr.339, Noteikumi par Latvijas būvnormatīvu LBN 002-15 " Ēku norobežojošo konstrukciju siltumtehnika ". 2015.

[26] Liechtensteinisches Landesgesetzblatt, Energieverordnung (EnV). 2007.

[27] LIETUVOS RESPUBLIKOS APLINKOS MINISTRO, DĖL STATYBOS TECHNINIO REGLAMENTO STR 2.01.02:2016 „PASTATŲ ENERGINIO NAUDINGUMO PROJEKTAVIMAS IR SERTIFIKAVIMAS" PATVIRTINIMO 2016 m. lapkričio 11 d. Nr. D1-754. 2016.

[28] Journal Officiel du Grand-Duché de Luxembourg, "PERFORMANCE ÉNERGÉTIQUE DES BÂTIMENTS D'HABITATION ET FONCTIONNELS," pp. 1491–1582, 2014.

[29] Ministre d'État de la Principauté, Arrêté Ministériel n° 2018-613 du 26 juin 2018 relatif aux caractéristiques thermiques des nouveaux bâtiments, des réhabilitations de bâtiments existants et des extensions. 2018.

[30] Ministarstvo ekonomije, PRAVILNIK O MINIMALNIM ZAHTJEVIMA ENERGETSKE EFIKASNOSTI ZGRADA ("Službeni list Crne Gore", br. 075/15 od 25.12.2015). 2015.

[31] NEN - Netherlands Standardization Institute, NEN 2687:1989 Luchtdoorlatendheid van woningen - Eisen. 1989.

[32] Direktoratet for Byggkvalitet, Byggteknisk forskrift (TEK17). 2017.

[33] ГОСУДАРСТВЕННЫЙ КОМИТЕТ РОССИЙСКОЙ ФЕДЕРАЦИИ ПО СТРОИТЕЛЬСТВУ И ЖИЛИЩНО-КОММУНАЛЬНОМУ КОМПЛЕКСУ, СНиП 23-02-2003 Тепловая защита зданий. 2004.

[34] Ministrstvo za okolje in prostor, TEHNIČNA SMERNICA UČINKOVITA RABA ENERGIJE. TSG-1-004: 2010. 2010.

[35] Ministerio de Fomento del Gobierno de España, Código técnico de la Edificación (CTE). Documento básico HE 1: Limitación de la demanda energética. 2019, pp. 1–129.

[36] BOVERKET National Board of Housing Building and Planning, Boverket´s mandatory provisions and general recommendations, BBR BFS 2011:6 with amendments up to BFS 2018:4. 2018.

[37] Assemblée générale de l'EnDK, Modèle de prescriptions énergétiques des cantons (MoPEC) Edition 2014, version française (Mise à jour 2018 - en raison de normes modifiées). 2018.

[38] HM Government, Approved Document L1A: Conservation of fuel and power in new dwellings (2013 edition with 2016 amendments). 2016.

[39] ICC - International Code Council, 2018 IECC - International Energy Conservation Code. 2017.

[40] Minister of Infrastructure, ROZPORZĄDZENIE MINISTRA INFRASTRUKTURY z dnia 12 kwietnia 2002 r. w sprawie warunków technicznych, jakim powinny odpowiadać budynki i ich usytuowanie. 2002.

[41] Wallon Gouvernment, "28 NOVEMBRE 2013. – Décret relatif à la performance énergétique des bâtiments," 2013.

[42] Underwriters Laboratories of Canada (ULC), CAN-ULC-S742:2011-R2016 STANDARD FOR AIR BARRIER ASSEMBLIES - SPECIFICATION REAFFIRMATION OF FIRST EDITION. 2016.

[43] National Research Council Canada, National Building Code of Canada 2015. 2015.

[44] Minister of Economic Affairs and Communications, "Methodology for calculating the energy performance of buildings," pp. 1–8, 2014.

[45] Ympäristöministeriö, 1010/2017 Decree of the Ministry of the Environment on the energy efficiency of a new building. 2017.

[46] T. Fowler et al., "Excess winter deaths in Europe: a multi-country descriptive analysis," Eur. J. Public Health, vol. 25, no. 2, pp. 339–345, 2015.

[47] I. Kyprianou, D. K. Serghides, A. Varo, J. P. Gouveia, D. Kopeva, and L. Murauskaite, "Energy poverty policies and measures in 5 EU countries: A comparative study," Energy Build., vol. 196, pp. 46–60, 2019.

[48] IL PRESIDENTE DELLA PROVINCIA, "Decreto del presidente della provincia 13 luglio 2009, n. 11-13/leg," vol. 1, pp. 1–30, 2016.

[49] AGENZIA PER L'ENERGIA ALTO ADIGE, Direttiva Tecnica Nuovi edifici 2015 Vers. 1.0.1. 2015.

[50] P. Laverge Jelle, M. Delghust, P. Bossche Nathan Van Den, and P. Janssens Arnold, "Airtightness Assessment of Single Family Houses in Belgium," Int. J. Vent., vol. 12, no. 4, pp. 379–390, Mar. 2014.

[51] BCAP - Building Codes Assistance Project, "Residential Code Status," Residential Energy Code Adoption, 2018.

[52] J. C. Howe, "Ninth Circuit Affirms Decision Finding Washington State Building Energy Code Met Requirements for Obtaining Exemption Under Federal Law," Green Building Law Update Service, 2012.

[53] Diário República, Despacho (extrato) n.o 15793-K/2013. D.R. n.o 234, 3.o Suplemento, Série II de 2013-12-03 Publicação dos parâmetros térmicos para o cálculo dos valores que integram o presente despacho. 2013.

[54] V. Leprince, F. R. Carrié, and M. Kapsalaki, "Building and ductwork airtightness requirements in Europe—Comparison of 10 European countries," in Proceedings of the 38th AIVC Conference Ventilating healthy Low-Energy Buildings, Nottingham, UK, 2017, pp. 13–14.

[55] A. Bailly, G. Guyot, and V. Leprince, 6 years of envelope airtightness measurements performed by French certified operators: analyses of about 65,000 tests. 2015.

[56] W. Pan, "Relationships between air-tightness and its influencing factors of post-2006 new-build dwellings in the UK," Build. Environ., vol. 45, pp. 2387–2399, Nov. 2010.

[57] I. S. Walker, M. H. Sherman, J. Joh, and W. R. Chan, "Applying large datasets to developing a better understanding of air leakage measurement in homes," Int. J. Vent., vol. 11, no. 4, pp. 323–338, 2013.

[58] J. Purdy and A. Parek, "Thermal and Air Leakage Characteristics of Canadian Housing," Ontario Build. Envel. Counc., vol. Fall, 2018.

[59] M. De Strycker, L. Van Gelder, and V. Leprince, "Quality framework for airtightness testing in the Flemish Region of Belgium - feedback after three years of experience," in 39th AIVC Conference. Smart Ventilation for buildings, 2018.

[60] J. Feijó-Muñoz et al., "Methodology for the Study of the Envelope Airtightness of Residential Buildings in Spain: A Case Study," Energies 2018, Vol. 11, Page 704, vol. 11, no. 4, p. 704, Mar. 2018.

[61] W. R. Chan, J. Joh, and M. H. Sherman, "Analysis of air leakage measurements of US houses," Energy Build., vol. 66, pp. 616–625, 2013.

[62] The Energy Conservatory, "Software User ' s Guide TECTITE (Ver . 4 . 0 - WiFi) (Building Airtightness Test Analysis Program) Software User ' s Guide." p. 71, 2016.

[63] V. Leprince and F. R. Carrié, "REASONS BEHIND AND LESSONS LEARNT WITH THE DEVELOPMENT OF AIRTIGHTNESS TESTERS SCHEMES IN 11 EUROPEAN COUNTRIES," pp. 1–6, 2014.

[64] FliB, Prüfungsordnung des Fachverbandes Luftdichtheid im Bauwesen e. V. (FliB) zur Erlangung der Qualifikation "Zertifizierter Prüfer der Gebäude-Luftdichtheit im Sinne der Energieeinsparverordnung." 2016.

[65] Department for Communities and Local Government and Welsh Government, Minimum technical competence for air tightness testing in buildings for England and Wales. 2018, pp. 1–10.

[66] S. Charrier and J. Ponthieux, "Airtightness quality management approach in France: end and birth of a scheme. Previous and new schemes overview and analysis," in 36th AIVC Conference, Madrid, 2015, vol. 22.

[67] François Rémi Carrié and P. Wouters, "Technical Note AIVC 67 Building airtightness: a critical review of testing, reporting and quality schemes in 10 countries," 2012.

[68] Ministère d'Etat de l'écologie de l'énergie du développement durable et de la mer, RT 2012. Arrêté du 26 octobre 2010 relatif aux caractéristiques thermiques et aux exigences de performance énergétique des bâtiments nouveaux et des parties nouvelles de bâtiments Version consolidée au 11 juin 2019. 2019.

[69] DIN - German Institute for Standardization, DIN EN ISO 9972 : 2018 Wärmetechnisches Verhalten von Gebäuden - Bestimmung der Luftdurchlässigkeit von Gebäuden - Differenzdruckverfahren (ISO 9972:2015). 2018.

[70] J. Feijó-Muñoz et al., "Energy impact of the air infiltration in residential buildings in the Mediterranean area of Spain and the Canary islands," Energy Build., vol. 188–189, pp. 226–238, 2019.

[71] W. R. Chan, J. Joh, and M. H. Sherman, "Analysis of Air Leakage Measurements from Residential Diagnostics Database," no. August, 2012.

[72] ISO, BS EN ISO 9972:2015 Thermal performance of buildings. Determination of air permeability of buildings. Fan pressurization method. 2015.

[73] CEN, Thermal performance of buildings - Determination of air permeability of buildings - Fan pressurization method, EN 13829-2000. 2000.

[74] ASTM, ASTM E1827 - 11(2017) Standard Test Methods for Determining Airtightness of Buildings Using an Orifice Blower Door. 2017.

[75] ASTM, ASTM E779 - 19 Standard Test Method for Determining Air Leakage Rate by Fan Pressurization. 2019.

[76] ICC, ANSI/RESNET/ICC 380-2016 with Addendum A-2017 incorporated Standard for Testing Airtightness of Building Enclosures, Airtightness of Heating and Cooling Air Distribution Systems, and Airflow of Mechanical Ventilation Systems. 2018.

[77] CGSB (Canadian General Standards Board), CAN/CGSB-149.10 Determination of the Airtightness of Building Envelopes by the Fan Depressurization Method / 91.120.10. 2019.

[78] CGSB (Canadian General Standards Board), CAN/CGSB 149.15-96 AMEND. Determination of the Overall Envelope Airtightness of Buildings by the Fan Pressurization Method Using the Building's Air Handling Systems. 1999.

[79] ASTM, ASTM E1677 - 11 Standard Specification for Air Barrier (AB) Material or System for Low-Rise Framed Building Walls. 2011.

[80] ASTM, ASTM E2357 - 18 Standard Test Method for Determining Air Leakage Rate of Air Barrier Assemblies. 2018.

[81] J. Fernández-Agüera, J. J. Sendra, and S. Domínguez, "Protocols for Measuring the Airtightness of Multi-Dwelling Units in Southern Europe," Procedia Eng., vol. 21, pp. 98–105, 2011.

[82] V. Leprince and F. Carrié, "COMPARISON OF BUILDING PREPARATION RULES FOR AIRTIGHTNESS TESTING IN 11 EUROPEAN COUNTRIES," in Conference: AIVC 2014 At: Poznan, Poland, 2014.

[83] AFNOR, FD P50-784:2016 - Guide d'application de la norme NF EN ISO 9972. 2016.

[84] FLIB, Auszug aus dem FLiB-Beiblatt zur DIN EN 13829 Checkliste Gebäudepräparation, Verfahren B, vol. 49, no. 0. 2015.

[85] M. Labat, M. Woloszyn, G. Garnier, and J. J. Roux, "Assessment of the air change rate of airtight buildings under natural conditions using the tracer gas technique. Comparison with numerical modelling," Build. Environ., vol. 60, pp. 37–44, 2013.

[86] F. R. Carrié and V. Leprince, "Uncertainties in building pressurisation tests due to steady wind," Energy Build., vol. 116, pp. 656–665, 2016.

[87] K. Pietrzyk and C.-E. Hagentoft, "Probabilistic analysis of air infiltration in low-rise buildings," Build. Environ., vol. 43, no. 4, pp. 537–549, 2008.

[88] AFNOR, NF EN ISO 9972 Détermination de la perméabilité à l'air des bâtiments. 2015.

[89] ÚNMZ, ČSN EN ISO 9972 Tepelné chování budov - Stanovení průvzdušnosti budov - Tlaková metoda. 2017.

[90] NBN, NBN EN ISO 9972 : 2015 THERMAL PERFORMANCE OF BUILDINGS - DETERMINATION OF AIR PERMEABILITY OF BUILDINGS - FAN PRESSURIZATION METHOD (ISO 9972:2015). 2015.

[91] UNE, UNE-EN ISO 9972:2019 Prestaciones térmicas de los edificios. Determinación de la permeabilidad al aire de los edificios. Método de presurización con ventilador. (ISO 9972:2015). 2019.

[92] ATTMA, Technical Standard L1. Measuring Air Permeability in the Envelopes of Dwellings September 2016 Issue. 2016.

[93] Deutsches Institut Fur Normung E.V. (German National Standard), DIN 4108-7 Thermal insulation and energy economy in buildings - Part 7: Air tightness of buildings - Requirements, recommendations and examples for planning and performance. 2011.

[94] SPF Economie, STS-P 71-3 Etanchéité à l'air des bâtiments Essai de pressurisation. 2014.

[95] UNE, UNE-EN 13829:2002 ERRATUM:2010 Aislamiento térmico. Determinación de la estanquidad al aire en edificios. Método de presurización por medio de ventilador. (ISO 9972:1996, modificada). 2002.

[96] M. Prignon, A. Dawans, S. Altomonte, and G. Van Moeseke, "A method to quantify uncertainties in airtightness measurements: Zero-flow and envelope pressure," Energy Build., vol. 188–189, pp. 12–24, 2019.

[97] C. Delmotte, "Airtightness of Buildings – Considerations regarding the Zero-Flow Pressure and the Weighted Line of Organic Correlation," in 38th AIVC Conference "Ventilating healthy low-energy buildings", Nottingham, UK, 13-14 September 2017, 2017.

[98] H. Okuyama and Y. Onishi, "Reconsideration of parameter estimation and reliability evaluation methods for building airtightness measurement using fan pressurization," Build. Environ., vol. 47, pp. 373–384, 2012.

[99] C. Delmotte, "Airtightness of buildings - Calculation of combined standard uncertainty," in 34th AIVC Conference " Energy conservation technologies for mitigation and adaptation in the built environment: the role of ventilation strategies and smart materials", Athens, Greece, 25-26 September 2013, 2013.

[100] S. Caillou, P. Van Den Bossche, C. Delmotte, D. Van Orshoven, and L. Vandaele, "Measurement of Building Airtightness in the EPB Context: Specific Procedure and Sources of Uncertainties," IEE SAVE ASIEPI Project WP5, 15-Jul-2009.

[101] M. Sherman and L. Palmiter, Uncertainty in fan pressurization measurements. 1995.

[102] D. Sinnott and M. Dyer, "Air-tightness field data for dwellings in Ireland," Build. Environ., vol. 51, pp. 269–275, 2012.

[103] R. Stephen, "Airtightness in UK Dwellings: BRE's Test Results and Their Significance," Constr. Res. Commun. Ltd, 1998.

[104] C. Delmotte and J. Laverge, "Interlaboratory test for the determination of repeatability and reproducibility of buildings airtightness measurements," 32nd AIVC Conf. ; 1st TightVent Conf. Towar. Optim. airtightness Perform., no. October 2011, 2011.

[105] M. Prignon, C. Delmotte, A. Dawans, S. Altomonte, and G. van Moeseke, "On the impact of regression technique to airtightness measurements uncertainties," Energy Build., vol. 215, p. 109919, 2020.

[106] R. Urquhart, R. Richman, and G. Finch, "The effect of an enclosure retrofit on air leakage rates for a multi-unit residential case-study building," Energy Build., vol. 86, pp. 35–44, 2015.

[107] X. Dequaire, Passivhaus as a low-energy building standard: Contribution to a typology, vol. 5. 2012.

[108] A. B. Mélois, B. Moujalled, G. Guyot, and V. Leprince, "Improving building envelope knowledge from analysis of 219,000 certified on-site air leakage measurements in France," Build. Environ., 2019.

[109] J. Love et al., "'Hitting the target and missing the point': Analysis of air permeability data for new UK dwellings and what it reveals about the testing procedure," Energy Build., vol. 155, pp. 88–97, 2017.

[110] Y. J. Choe, H. K. Shin, and J. H. Jo, "AIR LEAKAGE CHARACTERISTICS OF DWELLINGS IN HIGH-RISE RESIDENTIAL BUILDINGS IN KOREA," 2012.

[111] A. Kaschuba-Holtgrave, A. Rohr, S. Rolfsmeier, and O. Solcher, "Individual unit and guard-zone airtightness tests of apartment buildings," J. Build. Phys., vol. 43, no. 4, pp. 301–337, Aug. 2018.

[112] B. Jones et al., "The Effect of Party Wall Permeability on Estimations of Infiltration from Air Leakage," Int. J. Vent., vol. 12, no. 1, pp. 17–30, Jun. 2013.

[113] B. Cope, "Statistics, analysis and conclusions from 250,000 blower door tests, including ventilation types," in 38th AIVC Conference, 2017, pp. 160–167.

[114] M. Kraus and P. Charvátová, "Location as a Determinative Factor of Building Airtightness," Procedia Eng., vol. 161, pp. 1532–1537, 2016.

[115] J. Fernández-Agüera, S. Domínguez-Amarillo, J. J. Sendra, and R. Suárez, "An approach to modelling envelope airtightness in multi-family social housing in Mediterranean Europe based on the situation in Spain," Energy Build., vol. 128, pp. 236–253, 2016.

[116] J. Vinha et al., "Airtightness of residential buildings in Finland," Build. Environ., vol. 93, pp. 128–140, 2015.

[117] R. M. S. F. Almeida, N. M. M. Ramos, and P. F. Pereira, "A contribution for the quantification of the influence of windows on the airtightness of Southern European buildings," Energy Build., vol. 139, pp. 174–185, 2017.

[118] D. Johnston and R. J. Lowe, "Improving the airtightness of existing plasterboard-lined load-bearing masonry dwellings," Build. Serv. Eng. Res. Technol., vol. 27, no. 1, pp. 1–10, Feb. 2006.

[119] B. Khemet and R. Richman, "A univariate and multiple linear regression analysis on a national fan (de)Pressurization testing database to predict airtightness in houses," Build. Environ., vol. 146, pp. 88–97, 2018.

[120] T. Kalamees, "Air tightness and air leakages of new lightweight single-family detached houses in Estonia," Build. Environ., vol. 42, no. 6, pp. 2369–2377, 2007.

[121] M. Basset, "THE INFILTRATION COMPONENT OF VENTILATION IN NEW ZEALAND HOUSES," in Ventilation Strategies and Measurement Techniques, 6th Air Infiltration and Ventilation Centre Conference, Netherlands, 1985, no. September.

[122] A. Sfakianaki et al., "Air tightness measurements of residential houses in Athens, Greece," Build. Environ., vol. 43, no. 4, pp. 398–405, 2008.

[123] ATTMA, Temporary Sealing Guidance for Dwellings, vol. 44, no. July. 2015.

[124] D. Johnston, D. Miles-Shenton, M. Bell, and J. Wingfield, "Airtightness of buildings—towards higher performance: Final Report—Domestic Sector Airtightness," 2011.

[125] W. R. Chan, W. W. Nazaroff, P. N. Price, M. D. Sohn, and A. J. Gadgil, "Analyzing a database of residential air leakage in the United States," Atmos. Environ., vol. 39, no. 19, pp. 3445–3455, 2005.

[126] J. McWilliams and M. Jung, "Development of a Mathematical Air-Leakage Model from MeasuredData," United States, 2006.

[127] W. R. Chan, I. S. Walker, and M. H. Sherman, "Durable Airtightness in Single-Family Dwellings - Field Measurementsand Analysis," Int. J. Vent., vol. 14, no. 1, pp. 27–38, Jun. 2015.

[128] P. Ylmén, M. Hansén, and J. Romild, "Durability of air tightness solutions for buildings," in 35th AIVC Conference " Ventilation and airtightness in transforming the building stock to high performance", Poznań, Poland, 24-25 September 2014, 2014, pp. 268–278.

[129] G. Proskiw, "The variation of airtightness of wood-frame houses over an 11-year period," in Thermal Performance of the Exterior Envelopes of Buildings Vii, Conference Proceedings, 1998, pp. 745-751\r874.

[130] ADEME, QUELLE PÉRENNITÉ DE LA PERMÉABILITÉ À L ' AIR des maisons individuelles BBC en Normandie ? 2016.

[131] ISO, "ISO 9972: 2015 Thermal performance of buildings -- Determination of air permeability of buildings -- Fan pressurization method," 2015.

[132] J. M. Logue, M. H. Sherman, I. S. Walker, and B. C. Singer, "Energy impacts of envelope tightening and mechanical ventilation for the U.S. residential sector," Energy Build., vol. 65, pp. 281–291, 2013.

[133] M. Orme, "Estimates of the energy impact of ventilation and associated financial expenditures," Energy Build., vol. 33, no. 3, pp. 199–205, 2001.

[134] V. Leprince, M. Kapsalaki, and F. R. Carrié, "Impact of Energy Policies on Building and Ductwork Airtightness," Ventilation Information Paper, AIVC, no. 37, pp. 1–14, 2017.

[135] B. Jones et al., "Assessing uncertainty in housing stock infiltration rates and associated heat loss: English and UK case studies," Build. Environ., vol. 92, pp. 644–656, 2015.

[136] M.-H. Kim, J.-H. Jo, and J.-W. Jeong, "Feasibility of building envelope air leakage measurement using combination of air-handler and blower door," Energy Build., vol. 62, pp. 436–441, 2013.

[137] T. Kauppinen, S. Siikanen, E. Vähäsöyrinki, and M. Seppänen, "The use of building own ventilation system in measuring air tightness," in Joint Conference 32nd AIVC Conference and 1st TightVent Conference Towards Optimal Airtightness Performance, 2011, pp. 12–13.

[138] ASTM, ASTM E1186 - 17 Standard Practices for Air Leakage Site Detection in Building Envelopes and Air Barrier Systems. 2017.

[139] J. M. Logue, W. J. N. Turner, I. S. Walker, and B. C. Singer, "A simplified model for estimating population-scale energy impacts of building envelope air tightening and mechanical ventilation retrofits," J. Build. Perform. Simul., vol. 9, no. 1, pp. 1–16, Jan. 2016.

Acknowledgements

- FCT - Fundação para a Ciência e a Tecnologia, the funding of the Doctoral Grant PD/BD/135162/2017, through the Doctoral Programme EcoCoRe
- Universidad de Valladolid – Santander Universidades the funding of the Doctoral Grant of one of the authors
- UVa-Santander Iberoamérica Research Grant mobility programme
- Base Funding - UIDB/04708/2020 and Programmatic Funding - UIDP/04708/2020 of the CONSTRUCT - Instituto de I&D em Estruturas e Construção -funded by national funds through the FCT, MCTES (PIDDAC)
- We thank the individuals who shared their knowledge and provided information regarding national updates.

Thank you